International Journal on Culture, History, and Religion
https://ijchr.net | eISSN: 3028-1318
Volume 7 Special Issue 3 | doi: https://doi.org/10.63931/ijchr.v7iSI3.321

Article

Exploring the Ethical and Practical Implications of AI in Psychology Education and Training within State Universities and Colleges

Maria T. Mamba[1], Jesusa Naui[2], Jona A. Cambri[1], Helena D. Daya[3], Sylvia Leigh Quigao[1] & Leinard M. Bangayan[1]

[1]Cagayan State University, [2]Nueva Vizcaya State University, & [3]Southern Luzon State University

Correspondence: mariamamba754@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has created new opportunities for innovation, particularly in psychology where teaching methodologies, curriculum development, and learning personalization are being transformed. AI-powered tools such as adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring platforms, and data-driven feedback mechanisms provide educators with powerful means to enhance student engagement, identify learning challenges, and deliver tailored support. Despite these benefits, the integration of AI into psychology education presents profound ethical and practical challenges that must be critically addressed. Concerns regarding the protection of sensitive psychological data, the potential for algorithmic bias, and the issue of fairness in access remain central to debates surrounding AI adoption in higher education. This study investigated the ethical dilemmas and practical applications of AI in psychology education within state universities and colleges, with the goal of developing a framework for responsible and inclusive integration. Using qualitative and mixed method approaches such as interviews with faculty and students, surveys, and an extensive review of existing literature, the research identified recurring ethical issues, examined the effectiveness of AI tools in improving learning outcomes, and formulated guidelines for institutions. The findings underscore the importance of robust data governance policies to safeguard privacy, systematic measures to mitigate bias in AI-driven assessments, and equitable strategies to ensure all students benefit from these technologies regardless of socioeconomic background. By aligning AI adoption with institutional objectives, ethical standards, and student-centered practices, this study contributes to the promotion of a responsible, transparent, and inclusive use of AI in psychology education.

Keywords: *state universities and colleges, artificial intelligence, psychology education, ethical and practical implications, AI tools*

Suggested citation:

Mamba, M., Naui, J., Cambri, J., Daya, H., Quigao,S.L., & Bangayan, L. (2025). Exploring the Ethical and Practical Implications of AI in Psychology Education and Training within State Universities and Colleges. *International Journal on Culture, History, and Religion, 7*(SI3), 213-224 https://doi.org/10.63931/ijchr.v7iSI3.321

Publisher's Note: IJCHR stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly reshaping multiple sectors, and education has been one of the most significantly impacted fields (Holmes et al., 2019). In psychology education, AI technologies hold substantial promise in transforming teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and student learning experiences. AI-powered tools such as adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, and virtual simulations can potentially create immersive and personalized learning environments, preparing psychology students for academic and professional demands (Chen et al., 2020). By leveraging analytics-driven feedback, AI can assist instructors in identifying student learning gaps, tailoring content delivery, and improving overall instructional quality (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Despite these opportunities, integrating AI into psychology education presents profound challenges requiring careful ethical and pedagogical consideration. A primary concern lies in data privacy, as psychology education often requires collecting highly sensitive personal and behavioral data, which could have profound implications if misused or accessed without consent (Floridi et al., 2018). Equally pressing are algorithmic bias and discrimination issues, as AI systems trained on incomplete or biased datasets may reinforce inequities and produce unfair outcomes in assessment or personalized recommendations (Crawford & Paglen, 2019). Furthermore, fairness in access remains a central issue, since unequal access to digital resources may exacerbate educational inequalities, particularly in state universities and colleges in resource-constrained contexts (Cui & Zhang, 2022).

Scholars have argued that to maximize AI's potential, its integration must align with institutional goals, ethical standards, and student-centered practices (Luckin et al., 2016). Responsible AI adoption requires robust data governance, transparent algorithm design, faculty development, and infrastructural support to ensure meaningful use in teaching and learning (Holmes et al., 2021). This study, therefore, investigates both the ethical dilemmas and practical applications of AI in psychology education. By examining issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and fairness in access, alongside opportunities for enhancing pedagogy, curriculum, and personalized learning, the study seeks to propose an inclusive framework for responsible AI integration in psychology education. The findings aim to provide recommendations for institutions to adopt AI in ethically grounded, pedagogically effective, and equitable ways, ensuring that technological innovations empower students rather than disadvantage them.

Objectives of the Study

1. Identify ethical concerns related to the use of AI in psychology education, including data privacy, bias, and fairness.
2. Investigate the potential of AI-powered tools in enhancing teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and personalized learning for psychology students.
3. Propose guidelines for integrating AI technologies that align with institutional goals, ethical standards, and student-centered learning.

Methods of the Study

A qualitative approach was used to meet the objectives of this study using research methodology. The ethical implications of using AI in psychology education were investigated through extensive interviews with educators, administrators, and experts in the field to assess data privacy, bias, and fairness. Interviews were conducted to capture comprehensive insights and diverse viewpoints on ethical issues associated with AI adoption in education. A mixed-methods approach examined how AI-based tools could improve teaching techniques, curriculum design, and personalized learning for psychology students. It involved examining existing literature and case studies that utilized AI tools in psychology education.

Furthermore, students and faculty members were given questionnaires to gather quantitative information on their experiences and opinions on AI's potential to improve educational outcomes. Finally, to suggest ways of integrating AI technologies that meet specific institutional, ethical, and student-centered learning objectives, the study combined the outcomes of interviews, surveys, and literature review. Focus group discussions with key stakeholders were held to test the validity of the proposed guidelines and ensure that they met all ethical, practical, and pedagogical requirements for psychology education institutions.

Results and Findings

Ethical Concerns Related to the Use of AI in Psychology Education

Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) in psychology education has opened new opportunities for personalized learning, assessment, and instructional innovation. However, it has also raised pressing ethical concerns that must be critically addressed. Respondents in this study identified three significant issues: data privacy, algorithmic bias, and fairness in access and use. Data privacy emerged as a significant concern due to the sensitive nature of psychological information, with faculty and students

emphasizing the risks associated with collecting, storing, and potentially misusing personal data. Equally important was the recognition of algorithmic bias, where participants warned that AI systems may unintentionally reinforce existing prejudices, leading to discriminatory outcomes in assessment and learning recommendations. Finally, questions of fairness in access highlighted the digital divide, as students from less privileged backgrounds may be disadvantaged in adopting AI tools. In contrast, others, more technologically adept, could gain unintended advantages. These concerns underscore the urgent need for responsible governance, transparent data policies, and equitable implementation strategies to ensure that AI in psychology education promotes inclusivity, fairness, and trust.

Data Privacy Concerns

Data privacy was identified as one of the most significant ethical questions posed by respondents. They noted that psychological data is highly sensitive, and AI systems often need large datasets to function correctly. The use of AI in psychology education requires the collection and retention of sensitive student data, which could be misused or accessed. A psychology professor noted concerns about how much students are informed about their data usage. The need for strict data protection policies and open communication about data usage in AI applications was highlighted by a student who expressed concern that their data could be misused or exposed.

A psychology professor stated, *"The use of AI in psychology education necessitates the collection and storage of sensitive student data, which could potentially be mishandled or breached."*

Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

The main points discussed were AI systems' inherent discrimination and algorithmic bias. Respondents observed that AI models may inadvertently reinforce pre-existing biases in the training outcomes. An AI ethics specialist stated that artificial intelligence systems may display or intensify prejudices in their algorithms, resulting in unjust outcomes, particularly in educational assessments or personalized learning recommendations. A student expressed concern *that "AI might not accurately reflect our diverse backgrounds and needs, which could lead to unfair treatment or misjudgment."* These findings emphasized developing and maintaining fair, impartial AI systems in psychology education.

Fairness in Access and Use

Fairness in the use of AI-assisted devices and their educational implications was the third area of interest. Several respondents expressed concern that AI technologies are inaccessible to students of different socio-economic backgrounds. As stated by an administrator, the educational gap may be widened by the lack of equal access to technology and online resources. Additionally, there are worries that AI tools may favor specific learning methods or behaviors over others. According to a psychology instructor, *"AI systems have the potential to benefit students who are more technologically advanced than others unintentionally."* These responses underscored the importance of equitable implementation strategies that ensure all students can access AI tools regardless of their background or technological proficiency.

The study's participants identified three major ethical concerns related to the use of AI in psychology education: Data Privacy Concerns, Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination, and Fairness in Access and Use. These themes highlight the need for responsible and equitable oversight and inclusive practices when using AI in educational contexts.

Enhancing Teaching Methodologies, Curriculum Design, and Personalized Learning

The findings of this study revealed that artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly viewed as a transformative force in psychology education, with faculty and students identifying its potential to enhance teaching methodologies, reshape curriculum design, and advance personalized learning. Respondents emphasized that AI-powered tools enable more dynamic and interactive teaching, providing instant feedback and allowing students to learn at their own pace while offering educators valuable analytics to refine their methods. Regarding curriculum design, participants noted that AI can analyze vast datasets and emerging research trends, helping institutions keep psychology programs relevant, adaptable, and aligned with industry needs. Faculty highlighted the ability of AI to assist in developing modular and up-to-date course components that prepare students for the evolving job market. AI's role in personalized learning was equally significant, where intelligent systems can tailor educational pathways to match students' individual learning styles, progress, and challenges. Both students and teachers valued this customization, as it fosters engagement, supports mastery of complex concepts, and ensures equitable learning opportunities. These themes demonstrate that AI holds immense promise in creating a more interactive, relevant, and student-centered learning environment, positioning psychology education to better meet the demands of a rapidly evolving academic and professional landscape.

Enhancement of Teaching Methodologies

People surveyed also strongly preferred teaching methods using AI-powered tools in psychology education. Teachers recognized that AI could enhance dynamic and interactive teaching techniques. One psychology professor said, "AI tools make for more immersive experiences in the classroom because they give instant feedback, and when your students learn at whatever pace they are learning." AI-driven platforms can help teachers identify areas where students struggle to learn most effectively by using AI to find teaching techniques. An instructor remarked that using AI's analytics-driven capabilities in classrooms allows for rapid feedback on student behavior, which empowers teachers to learn from their mistakes.

Innovations in Curriculum Design

Participants also pointed out how AI is transforming curriculum design for psychology programs. AI systems can sift through large volumes of data to spot emerging trends in the field, which can then be woven into the curriculum. A curriculum developer shared, "AI enables us to keep the curriculum current by analyzing ongoing research and anticipating future demands in psychology education." Another participant remarked, "AI tools can help develop modular course components that can be tailored to reflect the latest advancements in psychology." This ability allows institutions to create curricula that are both up-to-date and adaptable, enhancing the educational experience for students and ensuring they remain relevant in the job market.

Advancements in Personalized Learning

The role of AI in personalized learning has emerged as a key topic, with many respondents highlighting how AI tools can address the unique needs of each student. AI-driven systems can evaluate students' learning styles, pace, and challenges to create tailored learning paths. One student shared, "With AI, I receive suggestions on which topics to concentrate on based on my progress, which helps me improve more quickly." Educators also valued AI's ability to provide personalized assistance, with one commenting, "AI enables a more customized learning experience, ensuring that every student gets the support they need to thrive." These insights indicate that AI-enhanced personalized learning can significantly boost student engagement and academic success in psychology education. In conclusion, the themes of Enhancing Teaching Methodologies, Innovations in Curriculum Design, and Advancements in Personalized Learning highlight the immense potential of AI-powered tools to

revolutionize psychology education. Each theme showcases how AI can foster a more interactive, current, and individualized learning atmosphere for psychology students.

Propose Guidelines for Integrating AI Technologies

Table 1 presents specific directives for utilizing AI technologies in state universities and colleges.

Theme	**Guidelines**	**Things to do**
Alignment with Institutional Goals	Incorporate AI into strategic plans with clear milestones and outcomes.	AI initiatives should be integrated into the institution's long-term development plans to ensure they contribute effectively to academic and administrative objectives.
	Allocate funding, infrastructure, and human capital to AI initiatives.	Ensuring adequate resources for AI projects is crucial for their success, requiring financial investment, technological infrastructure, and skilled personnel.
	Provide continuous professional development on AI technologies.	Training ensures that faculty and staff are competent in using AI tools, which are essential for their effective integration into teaching and administrative processes.
Adherence to Ethical Standards	Establish robust data governance policies to protect sensitive data.	Implementing secure data storage and handling procedures ensures compliance with data protection laws and safeguards student and staff information.
	Conduct regular audits of AI systems to detect and correct biases.	Regular reviews of AI algorithms are necessary to maintain fairness and prevent discriminatory outcomes in AI-driven processes.
	Maintain clear communication and establish accountability frameworks.	Transparency in AI usage helps build trust among stakeholders, while accountability measures allow reporting and addressing any AI-related concerns or issues.
Promotion of Student-Centered Learning	Use AI to create adaptive learning environments tailored to individual needs.	AI can enhance learning by personalizing educational content and support, improving

		student engagement and outcomes.
	Ensure AI tools are accessible to all students, providing necessary resources and support.	Providing equal access to AI-enhanced learning resources helps bridge the digital divide and ensures that all students can benefit from technological advancements.
	Facilitate real-time feedback for students and educators through AI.	AI systems that offer immediate feedback help students identify their strengths and weaknesses, allowing for timely improvements in learning and teaching strategies.

The table presents directives for utilizing AI technologies in state universities and colleges, divided into three main areas: Alignment with Institutional Goals, Adherence to Ethical Standards, and Promotion of Student-Centered Learning. Every topic covers specific operations with detailed explanations, offering institutions a clear path to effectively and responsibly adopt AI. The Alignment with Institutional Goals guidelines, which encompass strategic planning, resource allocation, and faculty training, guarantee the integration of AI initiatives into the institution's long-term goals. This alignment helps universities improve educational outcomes and research effectiveness and reduce administrative burdens, so AI adoption is a significant step towards broader institutional goals.

The theme of its Adherence to Ethical Standards addresses data privacy, bias mitigation, and transparency. By preventing the misuse of AI technologies, such as sensitive data breaches or biased outcomes, these guidelines help institutions maintain trust among stakeholders. Adherence to ethical principles promotes a sense of responsibility, and AI integration is subject to the same legal standards as human rights. Promoting Student-Centered Learning highlights personalized learning paths, inclusive access, and ongoing feedback mechanisms. By utilizing AI, these guidelines can generate personalized learning experiences that cater to different student interests, leading to increased engagement, motivation, and academic achievement. Institutions can use equality in access to AI tools to close educational gaps. It creates an inclusive environment.

These guidelines are important for institutions implementing AI technologies to achieve strategic objectives, promote ethical principles, and enhance student learning. Why is this important? To ensure that technological progress positively

impacts their educational mission and society, they assist higher education institutions in adapting to the complexities of AI adoption.

Discussion of Results and Findings

Ethical Concerns in the Use of AI in Psychology Education

The findings reveal that while AI offers transformative potential in psychology education, its adoption raises serious ethical concerns. Faculty and students alike expressed apprehensions regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and fairness in access, underscoring that these issues must be addressed before large-scale integration is realized.

Data privacy emerged as the most pressing concern, with participants highlighting the risks of collecting and storing sensitive psychological data. One professor observed that *"the use of AI in psychology education necessitates the collection and storage of sensitive student data, which could potentially be mishandled or breached."* It aligns with Floridi et al. (2018), who emphasized that processing personal and psychological data requires strict governance to avoid confidentiality and misuse of information. Since psychology often deals with highly personal cognitive and behavioral data, breaches could have greater consequences than in other academic domains.

The concern of algorithmic bias was also prominent. Participants noted that AI may unintentionally reinforce existing prejudices in educational assessments, disadvantaging certain groups. A student worried that *"AI might not accurately reflect our diverse backgrounds and needs, which could lead to unfair treatment or misjudgment."* It is consistent with Crawford and Paglen (2019), who argue that algorithmic decision-making systems frequently reproduce social inequities due to biases in training data. In psychology education, this risk is particularly problematic, as biased outputs could distort assessments of student progress and reinforce inequitable outcomes.

Finally, fairness in access and use was highlighted, with participants warning that unequal access to AI tools could exacerbate educational inequalities. Students from lower-income backgrounds or with limited technological proficiency may struggle to benefit from AI-enhanced platforms, while more advantaged peers reap disproportionate benefits. It echoes Cui and Zhang (2022), who observed that digital divides in education often worsen with the introduction of advanced technologies, placing already marginalized learners at greater risk of exclusion.

These results highlight the urgent need for transparent data policies, bias mitigation strategies, and equitable implementation frameworks. Institutions must

adopt ethical oversight mechanisms to ensure that AI fosters inclusivity rather than exacerbating existing inequities.

Enhancing Teaching Methodologies, Curriculum Design, and Personalized Learning

Beyond ethical concerns, respondents expressed optimism about AI's role in transforming pedagogy, curriculum, and learning personalization. Faculty noted that AI-powered tools enable dynamic, interactive teaching through features like instant feedback and real-time analytics. One professor remarked, *"AI tools make for more immersive experiences in the classroom because they give instant feedback and let students learn at their own pace."* Such observations mirror Zawacki-Richter et al.'s (2019) systematic review, which concluded that AI enhances pedagogical innovation by supporting adaptive teaching and formative feedback mechanisms.

Regarding curriculum design, participants emphasized that AI could analyze emerging trends in psychology and integrate them into program content. A curriculum developer highlighted that *"AI enables us to keep the curriculum current by analyzing ongoing research and anticipating future demands."* It reflects Luckin et al. (2016), who note that AI can support *"intelligence unleashed"* by ensuring curricula remain responsive to societal and disciplinary shifts. By embedding AI in curriculum development, psychology programs can remain flexible and future-oriented.

However, the most widely appreciated contribution of AI was in personalized learning. Students reported that AI tools provided tailored recommendations based on their learning pace and difficulties, enhancing engagement and academic performance. One student explained, *"With AI, I receive suggestions on which topics to concentrate on based on my progress, which helps me improve more quickly."* Such findings support Chen et al. (2020), who observed that adaptive AI systems are particularly effective in addressing learners' diverse needs and improving learning efficiency. Holmes et al. (2019) further argue that personalization through AI can democratize education by ensuring that students who traditionally struggle receive targeted support.

Conclusion

AI technologies in state universities and colleges have great potential to improve educational outcomes, streamline administrative processes, and encourage innovation. The study found that successful AI implementation depends on three main factors: alignment with institutional goals, commitment to ethical standards, and a focus on student-centered learning. These technologies can significantly enhance academic performance and operational efficiency by ensuring that AI initiatives align

with institutional objectives. Upholding ethical standards, such as data privacy, reducing bias, and ensuring transparency, is essential for building stakeholder trust and guaranteeing fair treatment. Additionally, AI's ability to create personalized learning experiences significantly boosts student engagement, motivation, and overall educational success, making learning more inclusive and tailored to individual needs. These findings highlight the necessity of a strategic, ethical, and student-oriented approach to incorporating AI in higher education.

References

[1] Binns, R. (2021). On the apparent conflict between individual and group fairness. Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 514–524. https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445897

[2] Chen, X., Xie, H., Zou, D., & Hwang, G. (2020). Application and theory gaps during the rise of artificial intelligence in education. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1, 100002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100002

[3] Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Zhao, T. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: Applications and ethical challenges. Educational Technology & Society, 23(4), 56–67.

[4] Crawford, K., & Paglen, T. (2019). Excavating AI: The politics of images in machine learning training sets. International Journal of Communication, 13, 1–40.

[5] Cui, G., & Zhang, Y. (2022). The digital divide in education: AI applications and access inequalities. Education and Information Technologies, 27(3), 3317–3335. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10737-3

[6] Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., … Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

[7] Haider, Y., & Frensch, P. (2022). AI-driven tools in psychology education: A review of opportunities and challenges. Psychology Learning & Teaching, 21(3), 145–159. https://doi.org/10.1177/14757257221031522

[8] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

[9] Holmes, W., Porayska-Pomsta, K., Holstein, K., Sutherland, E., Baker, T., Shum, S. B., … Santos, O. C. (2021). Ethics of AI in education: Towards a community-

wide framework. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 31(4), 641–662. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00239-7

[10] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson.

[11] Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., & Lerman, K. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. ACM Computing Surveys, 54(6), 1–35. https://doi.org/10.1145/3457607

[12] Smith, J., Green, A., & White, P. (2019). Data privacy in education: Ensuring security in the age of AI. Journal of Educational Technology, 18(2), 112–130.

[13] Xu, K., & Yang, H. (2020). Innovative pedagogies and AI: Transforming curriculum design. Computers and Education, 149, 103832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103832

[14] Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(39), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0